

Summary of Oct. 19th city council meeting

Congratulations to another business in the Shores that have been here for 50 years: Shore Lanes.

Also a deep heart felt thank you to Tom Langer a very humble neighbor, it is not everyday that we risk our own lives to save another. His neighbor Virginia Cislo passed away here in St.Clair Shores, God bless her and to her family.

The Senior Olympic winners were recognized and awarded for their achievements. Congratulations to all the winners.

PEA contract was up for a vote which showed significant changes to contract language that will become the model for other contract negotiations to save money for the residents.

Ten year Capital Improvement plan was on the table with a wish list of ideas for the city's future. Please if you time read over the plan. We know that grants and supplement monies sometimes depend on documents like these; however we should not use this document as a "business plan" for the next ten years which entails much more detailed information with specific data and figures.

Council members Ahearn, Hison, McFadyen, and Fredericks approved a \$8,400 survey to ask a handful of residents what they want as far as city services. The same survey was done a few years back. Why are we approving a survey that we might get back a ½ percent (300 residents out of 60,000) of the total population of SCS at about \$22 a person that we never used the last time in these budget constricted times? This just shows the lack of constraint on fiscal budgeting.

Audience participation was an eye opener.

A gentleman talked about the Committee for Responsible Government a political group that is based in Shelby Township that is supporting two local candidates and its influence on the city. The group has many ties to many city contracts, our ex-mayor, our code enforcement supervisor, and has given thousands of dollars to their candidate of choice. This particular nonsense is the cause of many questionable dealings within our city.

A couple of residents got up, one a candidate for SCS council, denounced a local businessman. What forced these people to go after a local business man who is not even running in the election? It posses more questions than answers and it was certainly was not very professional to talk about an issue, if there ever was one, on live television.